

EconS 424 - Homework #5 (Due on Friday April 23rd)

Question #1

Consider two firms competing a la Cournot, and facing linear inverse demand $p(Q) = 100 - Q$, where $Q = q_1 + q_2$ denotes aggregate output. For simplicity, assume that firms face a common marginal cost of production $c = 10$.

- Unrepeated game.* Find the equilibrium output each firm produces when competing a la Cournot (that is, when they simultaneously and independently choose their output levels) in the unrepeated version of the game (that is, when firms interact only once). In addition, find the profits that each firm earns in equilibrium.
- Repeated game - Collusion.* Assume now that the CEOs from both companies meet to discuss a collusive agreement that would increase their profits. Set up the maximization problem that firms solve when maximizing their *joint* profits (that is, the sum of profits for both firms). Find the output level that each firm should select to maximize joint profits. In addition, find the profits that each firm obtains in this collusive agreement.
- Repeated game – Permanent punishment.* Consider a grim-trigger strategy in which every firm starts colluding in period 1, and it keeps doing so as long as both firms colluded in the past. Otherwise, every firm deviates to the Cournot equilibrium thereafter (that is, every firm produces the Nash equilibrium of the unrepeated game found in part a forever). In words, this says that the punishment of deviating from the collusive agreement is *permanent*, since firms never return to the collusive outcome. For which discount factors this grim-trigger strategy can be sustained as the SPNE of the infinitely-repeated game?
- Repeated game – Temporary punishment.* Consider now a “modified” grim-trigger strategy. Like in the grim-trigger strategy of part (c), every firm starts colluding in period 1, and it keeps doing so as long as both firms colluded in the past. However, if a deviation is detected by either firm, every firm deviates to the Cournot equilibrium during only 1 period, and then every firm returns to cooperation (producing the collusive output). Intuitively, this implies that the punishment of deviating from the collusive agreement is now *temporary* (rather than permanent) since it lasts only one period. For which discount factors this “modified” grim-trigger strategy can be sustained as the SPNE of the infinitely-repeated game?
- Consider again the temporary punishment in part (d), but assume now that it lasts for two periods. How are your results from part (d) affected? Interpret.
- Consider again the temporary punishment in part (d), but assume now that it lasts for three periods. How are your results from part (d) affected? Interpret.

Question #2

Here is a description of the simplest poker game. There are two players and only two cards in the deck, an Ace and a King. First, the deck is shuffled and one of the two cards is dealt to player 1. That is, nature chooses the card for player 1: being the Ace with probability $2/3$ and the King with probability $1/3$. Player 2 has previously received a card, which both players had a chance to observe. Hence, the only uninformed player is player 2, who does not know whether his opponent has received a King or an Ace. Player 1 observes his card and then chooses whether to bet (B) or fold (F). If he folds, the game ends, with player 1 obtaining a payoff of -1 and player 2 getting a payoff of 1 (that is, player 1 loses his ante to player 2). If player 1 bets, then player 2 must decide whether to respond betting or folding. When player 2 makes this decision, she knows that player 1 bets, but she has not observed player 1's card. The game ends after player 2's action. If player 2 folds, then the payoff vector is $(1, -1)$, meaning player 1 gets 1 and player 2 gets -1 , regardless of player 1's hand. If player 2, instead, responds betting, then the payoff depends on player 1's card: if player 1 holds the Ace then the payoff vector is $(2, -2)$, thus indicating that player 1 wins; if player 1 holds the King, then the payoff vector is $(-2, 2)$, reflecting that player 1 loses. *Represent this game in the extensive form and in the Bayesian normal form, and find the Bayesian Nash Equilibrium (BNE) of the game.*