

## Recitation #6 (09/28/2018) - Production Theory

1. Consider the following profit function that has been obtained from a technology that uses a single input,  $z$ :

$$\pi(p, w) = p^2 w^\alpha$$

where  $p$  is the output price,  $w$  is the input price and  $\alpha$  is a parameter value.

- (a) Check if the profit function satisfies homogeneity of degree one jointly in both  $p$  and  $w$ . In particular, determine for which values of  $\alpha$  this property is satisfied.

- The profit function is homogeneous of degree one if

$$\pi(\theta p, \theta w) = \theta \pi(p, w)$$

In this case we have that the left-hand term becomes

$$\pi(\theta p, \theta w) = (\theta p)^2 (\theta w)^\alpha = \theta^{2+\alpha} p^2 w^\alpha \quad (3)$$

and, on the other hand, the right-hand term is

$$\theta \pi(p, w) = \theta p^2 w^\alpha \quad (4)$$

since, by homogeneity of degree one, expressions (3) and (4) must coincide. Then,

$$\theta^{2+\alpha} p^2 w^\alpha = \theta p^2 w^\alpha$$

which implies that  $2 + \alpha = 1$ . That is, we need  $\alpha = -1$ . As a consequence, the profit function that we obtain is

$$\pi(p, w) = \frac{p^2}{w}$$

- (b) Assuming the value of  $\alpha$  for which the profit function satisfies homogeneity of degree one, check if the profit function  $\pi(p, w)$  satisfies the following properties: (1) non-decreasing in output price  $p$ , (2) non-increasing in input prices  $w$ , and (3) convex in prices  $p$  and  $w$ .

- *Non-decreasing in the output price,  $p$* : Increasing output prices yields a weakly higher profit level since

$$\frac{\partial \pi(p, w)}{\partial p} = \frac{2p}{w} \geq 0$$

- *Non-increasing in the factor prices,  $w$* : Increasing all input prices weakly reduces profits since

$$\frac{\partial \pi(p, w)}{\partial w} = -\frac{p^2}{w^2} \leq 0$$

- *Convex in prices* (factor prices and output prices):

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \pi(p, w)}{\partial p^2} & \frac{\partial^2 \pi(p, w)}{\partial p \partial w} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \pi(p, w)}{\partial w \partial p} & \frac{\partial^2 \pi(p, w)}{\partial w^2} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{2}{w} & -\frac{2p}{w^2} \\ -\frac{2p}{w^2} & \frac{2p^2}{w^3} \end{vmatrix}$$

In particular, the Hessian is a positive semi-definite matrix, since

$$\frac{2}{w} \frac{2p^2}{w^3} - \left(-\frac{2p}{w^2}\right) \left(-\frac{2p}{w^2}\right) = \frac{4p^2}{w^4} - \frac{4p^2}{w^4} = 0$$

implying that the profit function  $\pi(p, w)$  is convex.

- (c) Calculate the supply function of the firm,  $q(p, w)$ , and its demand for inputs,  $z(p, w)$ .

- Using Hotelling's Lemma we can find the supply function, by differentiating the profit function with respect to  $p$ , as follows

$$q(p, w) = \frac{\partial \pi(p, w)}{\partial p} = \frac{2p}{w}$$

and the conditional factor demand correspondence can also be found by differentiating the profit function with respect to  $w$ , as follows

$$z(p, w) = -\frac{\partial \pi(p, w)}{\partial w} = \frac{p^2}{w^2}$$

- Note that both the supply function,  $q(p, w)$ , and the input demand function,  $z(p, w)$ , are increasing in output prices  $p$  (more attractive sales) but decreasing in input prices  $w$  (i.e., more costly resources).

2. The profit function,  $\pi(p)$ , is defined as

$$\pi(p) = \max \{p \cdot y \mid y \in Y\}$$

or alternatively,  $\pi(p) \geq p \cdot y$  for every feasible production plan  $y \in Y$ .

- (a) Show that the profit function  $\pi(p)$  is convex in prices.

- We need to show that, for any two price vectors  $p, p' \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^L$ ,

$$\pi(\alpha p + (1 - \alpha)p') \leq \alpha\pi(p) + (1 - \alpha)\pi(p') \quad \text{where } \alpha \in [0, 1]$$

*Proof.* From the definition of the profit function we know that  $\pi(p)$  is the maximal of  $p \cdot y$ , so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(p) &\geq p \cdot y, \text{ for any } y \in Y \text{ and } p \gg 0, \text{ and} \\ \pi(p') &\geq p' \cdot y, \text{ for any } y \in Y \text{ and } p' \gg 0 \end{aligned}$$

We now multiply all prices by a common factor  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , thus obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\pi(p) &\geq \alpha p \cdot y, \text{ for any } y \in Y \text{ and } p \gg 0, \text{ and} \\ (1 - \alpha)\pi(p') &\geq (1 - \alpha)p' \cdot y, \text{ for any } y \in Y \text{ and } p' \gg 0 \end{aligned}$$

Adding up the two previous inequalities, yields

$$\alpha\pi(p) + (1 - \alpha)\pi(p') \geq \alpha p \cdot y + (1 - \alpha)p' \cdot y = [\alpha p + (1 - \alpha)p'] \cdot y$$

where the right-hand side,  $[\alpha p + (1 - \alpha)p'] \cdot y$ , coincides with the profit function at price level  $\alpha p + (1 - \alpha)p'$ , i.e.,  $\pi(\alpha p + (1 - \alpha)p')$ . Hence, the above inequality can be more compactly expressed as

$$\alpha\pi(p) + (1 - \alpha)\pi(p') \geq \pi(\alpha p + (1 - \alpha)p')$$

Therefore, the profit function is convex in prices.

(b) Prove that Hotelling's lemma holds for this profit function.

- Hotelling's lemma states that if the output function evaluated at price vector  $p$ ,  $y(\bar{p})$ , consists of a single output level, then the profit function  $\pi(p)$  is differentiable at the price level  $\bar{p}$ ; and moreover such derivative is  $\nabla_p \pi(\bar{p}) = y(\bar{p})$ .
- Let us first express the profit function as the support function that, for every price vector  $p$ , chooses the infimum of  $p \cdot (-y)$ , i.e., instead of choosing the max of  $p \cdot y$ . We, hence, redefine it as the inf of  $p \cdot (-y)$ , as follows

$$\pi(p) = \inf \{p \cdot (-y) \mid y \in Y\}$$

- In order to emphasize the similarities with the Duality Theorem, we reproduce

this theorem next: Let  $K$  be a non-empty and closed set, and let  $\mu_K(p)$  be its support function, that is  $\mu_K(\bar{p}) = \inf \{p \cdot x | x \in X\}$ . Then, there exists a unique element  $\bar{x}$  in set  $K$  such that  $\mu_K(\bar{p}) = \bar{p} \cdot \bar{x}$  if and only if  $\mu_K(\bar{p})$  is differentiable at  $\bar{p}$ . Moreover,  $\nabla_p \mu_K(\bar{p}) = \bar{x}$ .

- Therefore, given that we have noticed that the profit function can be expressed as a support function, we can rewrite Hotelling's lemma as a direct application of the Duality Theorem (just changing labels!): Let  $-Y$  be a non-empty and closed (production) set, and let  $\mu_{-Y}(p)$  be its support function. Then, there exists a unique production function  $y(\bar{p})$  in the set  $-Y$  such that  $\pi(\bar{p}) = \bar{p} \cdot y(\bar{p})$  if and only if  $\pi(\bar{p})$  is differentiable at  $\bar{p}$ . Moreover, this derivative is  $\nabla_p \pi(\bar{p}) = y(\bar{p})$ .

(c) Show that, if the output function  $y(p)$  is differentiable at  $\bar{p}$ , then  $D_p y(\bar{p})$  is a symmetric and positive semidefinite matrix.

- *Symmetry*: This comes from two sources. First, own-substitution effects are nonnegative, i.e.,  $\frac{\partial y_l(p)}{\partial p_l} \geq 0$  for all  $l$ . Second, using Young's Theorem, cross-substitution effects are symmetric, i.e.,  $\frac{\partial y_l(p)}{\partial p_k} = \frac{\partial y_k(p)}{\partial p_l}$  for all  $l \neq k$ .
- *Positive semidefinite matrix*: It is the mathematical expression for the law of supply: quantities respond in the same direction as price changes. (See exercise #6 in this chapter for more details.) That is given that there are no wealth effects,